

EDM理論

Nodoka Yamanaka
(Kennesaw State University)

Based on

NY, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 26, no. 4, 1730002 (2017);


NY, B. K. Sahoo, N. Yoshinaga, T. Sato, K. Asahi and B. P. Das, Eur. Phys. J. A 53, 54 (2017);

NY, PoS SPIN 2018, 094 (2019) [arXiv:1902.00527 [hep-ph]].


2020/12/07
第6回勉強会

Have you ever seen **antiparticles** ?

Antiparticle: particle with opposite electric charge,
and with almost the same properties as particles



particle (atom)



antiparticle (anti-atom)

Anti-matter (matter made of anti-particles)
annihilates with matter and emit a huge
amount of energy (gamma rays)




Anti-matter ??
(photo from *Angels & Demons*, Dan Brown)

Why did antimatter disappear (baryon number excess)?


Asymmetric decays generates excess of matters in the early Universe

$T > m_X$ (X, matter and anti-matter in equilibrium)




- : Matter (q,l)
- : Anti-matter (\bar{q}, \bar{l})
- ⊗ : Heavy particles
- ζ : Photon

$T < m_X$ (X decouple from equilibrium)



Decay of heavy particles

$T < m_{\text{matter}}$ (now)



Pair annihilation of matter-anti-matter



Matter/photon ratio is a direct signature of baryon number asymmetry

Sakharov's three conditions

To generate the baryon number asymmetry of our Universe, **three conditions** must be satisfied:

- Baryon number violating interaction

 - Decay of heavy particles carrying baryon number (GUT, leptoquark), sphaleron process (topological violation of B, OK with SM)

- Departure from equilibrium


 - Decays or pair annihilations of heavy particles carrying B, bubble nucleation at phase transition (due to the decrease of temperature of the expanding Universe)

- Violation of charge conjugation (C) and **charge conjugation-parity (CP)**

 - (See next slide)

C, CP violations and baryon number asymmetry

P, C and CP transformation of initial & final states:



Baryon number asymmetry:

$$\epsilon \propto \Gamma(X \rightarrow f_L f'_L) + \Gamma(X \rightarrow f_R f'_R) - \Gamma(\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{f}_L \bar{f}'_L) - \Gamma(\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{f}_R \bar{f}'_R)$$

Similar relations hold for decays of other particles, other interactions

**➔ C & CP violations are both needed
for baryon number asymmetric decays**

CP violation of Standard model is not sufficient to explain matter/antimatter asymmetry ...

ratio photon : matter

Prediction of Standard model: $10^{20} : 1$

Real observed data: $10^{10} : 1$

 **CP violation of standard model
is in great deficit!**

We need new source(s) of
large CP violation beyond the standard model !


Electric dipole moment (EDM)

Electric dipole moment:

Permanent polarization of internal charge of a particle.

$$\vec{d}_\psi = \sum_i \langle \psi | Q_i e \vec{r}_i | \psi \rangle$$

⇒ This is what will be evaluated!



- Direction: $\vec{d} \propto \vec{\sigma}$
(Spin is the only vector quantity in spin 1/2 particle)

- Interaction: $H_{\text{EDM}} = -d \langle \vec{\sigma} \rangle \cdot \vec{E}$

- Transformation properties:

- Under parity tr.: $\begin{cases} \vec{E} & \xrightarrow{P} & -\vec{E} \\ \vec{\sigma} & \xrightarrow{P} & \vec{\sigma} \end{cases} \rightarrow H_{\text{EDM}} \text{ is P-odd}$
- Under time reversal: $\begin{cases} \vec{E} & \xrightarrow{T} & \vec{E} \\ \vec{\sigma} & \xrightarrow{T} & -\vec{\sigma} \end{cases} \rightarrow H_{\text{EDM}} \text{ is CP-odd !}$


EDM from physics beyond Standard model

EDM operator in relativistic field theory: dimension five-5 operator


$$-\frac{i}{2}d_\psi\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\psi \quad \xrightarrow{\text{Nonrela. lim.}} \quad -d_\psi\sigma\cdot\mathbf{E}$$

EDM is generated by **CP violating interactions**.


Can be calculated using Feynman diagrams:



1-loop diagram
(e.g. SUSY)



2-loop diagram
(e.g. 2-Higgs models)



3-loop diagram
(e.g. Standard model)


EDM receives very small contribution from SM,
whereas BSM new physics may contribute with low loop level :

➡ EDM is a very good probe of BSM new physics!


EDM of composite systems

The EDM is often measured in composite systems (neutron, atoms, molecules, nuclei)

The EDM of composite systems is not only generated by the EDM of the components, but also by **CP violating many-body interactions**.




EDM of constituents




CP-odd many-body interaction


Example of QCD level many-body interactions inducing neutron EDM:



quark chromo-EDM



Weinberg operator





P, CP-odd 4-quark interaction

Effect of CPV many-body interaction **may be enhanced/suppressed!**

Elementary level CP violation and its origin

All these processes scale as $1/M_{NP}^2$



● Quark EDM, chromo-EDM:



● CP-odd 4-quark interaction:

Tree level:


- * Left-right sym.
- * Scalar exchange
- * Leptoquarks



● Weinberg operator:


2-loop diagram:

- * 2-Higgs doublet model
- * Vectorlike quark model



Probe BSM sectors
without LO interaction
with light quarks

EDM from elementary level CP violation



observable	: Observable available at experiment
	: Sizable dependence
	: Weak dependence
	: Matching

What is better in EDM experiments

⇒ Elementary level CPV is unknown and small : **can be factorized**

Unknown CP violating couplings beyond the standard model

$$d_A = (\mathbf{a}_\pi^{(0)} \bar{\mathbf{G}}_\pi^{(0)} + \mathbf{a}_\pi^{(1)} \bar{\mathbf{G}}_\pi^{(1)}) e \text{ cm} + K_e d_e + \dots$$

Depends on the structure of the system!

⇒ **Linear coefficients** depends **only** on the structure of the system, not in NP


⇒ We want to evaluate **coefficients** and find interesting systems!

⇒ We want to find systems with large **enhancement factors**


(or understand and avoid suppression)

Atomic EDM and Schiff's screening

In atoms, EDM of nonrelativistic constituents suffers **Schiff's screening**



EDM of bare constituent



Atomic EDM :
screening via rearrangement

Typically, loses sensitivity
by $\alpha_{\text{QED}}^2 \sim 10^{-4}$

3 leading P, CP-odd processes in atoms :

- **Relativistic effect of constituents** (electrons in heavy atoms)
- **CP-odd electron-nucleon interaction**
- **Schiff moment** (residual nuclear moment due to nuclear finite size)

L. I. Schiff, Phys. Rev. 132, 2194 (1963).

- **Oscillating EDM of constituents** (interaction with axion dark matter?)

V. Flambaum et al., Phys. Rev. D 100, 111301 (2019).

Electron EDM in atoms/molecules : relativistic enhancement

Electron EDM is enhanced in heavy **paramagnetic** atoms/molecules due to the relativistic effect

P. G. H. Sandars, Phys. Lett. 14, 194 (1965); Phys. Lett. 22, 290 (1966).

$$d_A = \sum_n \frac{\langle \Psi_0 | -e \sum_i^Z z_i | \Psi_n \rangle \langle \Psi_n | d_e \sum_j^Z (1 - \beta_j) \sigma_j \cdot \mathbf{E}_j | \Psi_0 \rangle}{E_n - E_0} = K_e d_e$$

**Relativistic effect :
Not canceled by Schiff theorem**

Mechanism of enhancement:

$(1+\gamma_0)$ component (nonrelativistic) is removed due to Schiff's screening

$(1-\gamma_0)$ component is relativistic \Rightarrow **Enhanced by $(Z\alpha)^2$!**

Electron EDM induces internal electric field \propto Coulomb force

\Rightarrow **Additionally enhanced by $Z\alpha$!**

\Rightarrow Enhancement by $(Z\alpha)^3$!!

Some examples:


	enhancement factor	Experimental data
Tl atom	$K_e = -585$ Porsev et al., PRL 108 , 173001 (2012).	$d_e < 1.6 \times 10^{-27}$ e cm Regan et al., PRL 88 , 071805 (2002)
Fr atom	$K_e = -800$ Shitara et al., arXiv:2011.02529 [hep-ph]	$\delta d_e \sim O(10^{-29})$ e cm Sakemi et al., on-going

$\Rightarrow O(100)$ enhancement of electron EDM!

Enhancement in octupole systems: molecules, nuclei

Octupole deformed systems may enhance the CP violation by **close opposite parity levels** (parity doubling)

Each orientation corresponds to localized state in double well potential



Physical states are **mixing between localized states**

⇒ **Nearly degenerate** symmetric (S) and antisymmetric (P) states!

⇒ Close energy levels between opposite parity lead to enhancement!


Current electron EDM world record by ThO molecule : $d_e < 1.1 \times 10^{-29} e \text{ cm}$

V. Andreev et al. [ACME Collaboration], Nature 562, 355 (2018).


(parity doubling also occurs in heavy nuclear systems, see later)

Enhancement/suppression mechanism : scalar and spin

● Spin (tensor, axial charges) : suppression




In many-fermion system, spin tends to **form singlet** (pairing) : no enhancement




Quark EDM is a **superposition** of flipping after gluon emissions/absorptions
⇒ Quark EDM is **suppressed**

● Scalar density : enhancement



Scalar density grows with particle number



Relativistic effect : Z graph, loops

Scalar density of particles and antiparticles has the **same sign**
⇒ Becomes large with long worldline
⇒ **Enhancement** by relativistic effect

Enhancement/suppression mechanism : scalar and spin

● Spin (tensor, axial charges) : suppression

Renormalization group evolution of quark EDM, quark/gluon chromo-EDM

Nucleon matrix element of quark EDM, quark/gluon chromo-EDM

Nuclear spin matrix elements : configuration mixing


● Scalar density : enhancement

Renormalization group evolution of quark scalar density, 4-quark operators

Light quark effect : pion pole, pion loop

Nuclear density grows with A

CP-odd electron-nucleon interaction : the most interesting!



P, CP-odd e-N interaction induces atomic EDM,
it is a pure atomic effect

In view of the above enhancement/suppression mechanisms,
the **CP-odd e-N interaction is the most interesting**, because...


- Many new physics contribute at the tree level
- For **scalar-pseudoscalar** type $C_{SP}\bar{N}N\bar{e}i\gamma_5e$
Similar enhancement as the electron EDM in **paramagnetic** systems
Hadronic part has scalar density enhancement $\langle N|\bar{q}q|N\rangle$
 \Rightarrow In many cases, **more sensitive than electron EDM!**
- For **pseudoscalar-scalar** $C_{PS}\bar{N}i\gamma_5N\bar{e}e$ and **tensor** $C_T\bar{N}\sigma_{\mu\nu}N\bar{e}i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5e$ types
Suppression due to spin, but EDM experiments of **diamagnetic** atom
are **very precise** ! (c.f. $d_{\text{Hg}} < 7.4 \times 10^{-30}$ e cm, world record)

Graner et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 161601 (2016).

Sensitivity to specific new physics through C_T , such as leptoquark

Nucleon level CP violation from strong interacting sector

Much chiral EFT / lattice QCD works in the past. Current understanding is like



MeV scale operators
(Nuclear physics input)

Hadron level operators
(chiral EFT)

Quark level operators
(SMEFT)

Unfortunately, not all hadron matrix elements are available from lattice QCD

Use **chiral EFT** to relate unknown ones with known ones


Nuclear EDM / Schiff moment from nucleon level CP violation

Two leading contributions to nuclear EDM/Schiff moment:

1) Nucleon's intrinsic EDM:

Contribution from the **nucleon EDM (spin)**


- Strong pairing force : only unpaired nucleon(s) contribute
- Nucleons are nonrelativistic in nuclei



⇒ Nucleon EDM is not enhanced in nuclei


2) Polarization of the nucleus:

Polarize the whole system by the **parity and CP mixing** due to **CP-odd nuclear force**




s-wave

+




p-wave

=




polarized system



May be enhanced by the **many-body effect?**

P, CP-odd nuclear force

P, CP-odd nuclear force : **pion exchange** is dominant



$$\sim \frac{1}{q^2 - m_\pi^2} \bar{N} N \bar{N} i \gamma_5 N$$

● P, CP-odd Hamiltonian (3-types):

$$\mathcal{H}_{PT} = -\frac{1}{8\pi m_N} \left[\underbrace{(\bar{G}_\pi^{(0)})}_{\text{Isoscalar}} \tau_a \cdot \tau_b + \underbrace{\bar{G}_\pi^{(2)}}_{\text{Isotensor}} (\tau_a \cdot \tau_b - 3\tau_a^z \tau_b^z) \right] (\sigma_a - \sigma_b) + \underbrace{\bar{G}_\pi^{(1)}}_{\text{Isovector}} (\tau_b^a \sigma_a - \tau_b^z \sigma_b) \cdot \frac{\nabla_{ab} e^{m_\pi r_{ab}}}{r_{ab}}$$

● 4 important properties:

- Coherence in nuclear scalar density : enhanced in nucleon number
- One-pion exchange : suppress long distance contribution
- Spin dependent interaction : closed shell has no EDM
- Derivative interaction : contribution from the surface

● What is expected:

- Polarization effect grows in A for small nuclei ?
- May have additional enhancements with cluster structure, deformation, ...

EDM of light nuclei and counting rule

EDM of light nuclei can be measured using storage rings

⇒ No Schiff's screening

⇒ Very high sensitivity to new physics expected

- **Isvector** coupling obeys a **counting rule**

$$d_A^{(\text{pol})} \sim \underbrace{d(^{2/3}\text{H})}_{\text{EDM of cluster with open shell}} + \underbrace{n \times 0.005 G_{\pi}^{(1)}}_{\alpha\text{-N polarization (times \# \alpha\text{-N combinations)}} e \text{ fm}$$

EDM of cluster with open shell


α -N polarization (times # α -N combinations)

⇒ Explained by the cluster structure

NY, T. Yamada, Y. Funaki, in preparation

- Isoscalar and isotensor appears from single valence nucleon and ^3H cluster (**vanish** for α -N polarization)


Example of ^{11}B EDM:



$$d_{^{11}\text{B}} = 0.02 G_{\pi}^{(1)} e \text{ fm}$$

EDM of heavy nuclei : simple shell model

EDM of larger nuclei is larger?




$$d_A = (A/4) \times (\alpha\text{-N polarization}) ??$$

➔ No!

Problems:

- pion is massive, nucleon cannot interact with the other side of the nucleus
- CP-odd nuclear force is a derivative interaction, interact with the surface
- Large nuclei have configuration mixings (destructive interference of angular momentum of valence nucleons)



$$|\Psi\rangle = \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \right\rangle + \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \right\rangle + \dots$$

We should have some upper limit in the sensitivity $d_A \sim 0.07 G_\pi^{(1)} e \text{ fm}$


Schiff moment of octupole deformed nuclei: enhancement

Octupole deformation

⇒ parity doubling due to axially asymmetric shape

⇒ **close opposite parity levels**

⇒ enhance nuclear Schiff moment



Octupole deformation occurs in heavy nuclei (^{225}Ra , ^{223}Rn , ^{223}Fr , etc)

Comparison of Schiff moment with ^{199}Hg :

	$a_0(\text{isoscalar})$	$a_1(\text{isovector})$	$a_2(\text{isotensor})$
^{225}Ra	-1.5 e fm ³	6.0 e fm ³	-4.0 e fm ³
^{199}Hg	0.08 e fm ³	0.08 e fm ³	0.14 e fm ³

J. Dobaczewski and J. Engel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 232502 (2005)

J. Dobaczewski et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 232501 (2018).

(Comparison ^{199}Hg result of Yanase et al., arXiv:2006.15142 [nucl-th])



Octupole deformation enhances by O(100) times!!

Results

EDM	isoscalar (a_0)	isovector (a_1)	isotensor (a_2)	
^{129}Xe atom K. Yanase et al., arXiv:2006.15142 [nucl-th] A. Sakurai et al., PRA 100 , 0320502 (2019)	$-1.2 \times 10^{-6} e \text{ fm}$	$-1.3 \times 10^{-6} e \text{ fm}$	$-2.6 \times 10^{-6} e \text{ fm}$	} atoms
^{199}Hg atom K. Yanase et al., arXiv:2006.15142 [nucl-th] B. K. Sahoo et al., PRL 120 , 203001 (2018)	$-1.4 \times 10^{-5} e \text{ fm}$	$-1.3 \times 10^{-5} e \text{ fm}$	$-2.6 \times 10^{-5} e \text{ fm}$	
^{225}Ra atom Dobaczewski et al., PRL 94 , 232502 (2005) Y. Singh et al., PRA 92 , 022502 (2015)	$0.00093 e \text{ fm}$	$-0.0037 e \text{ fm}$	$0.0025 e \text{ fm}$	
Neutron Crewther et al., PLB 88 , 123 (1979) Mereghetti et al., PLB 696 , 97 (2011)	$0.01 e \text{ fm}$	—	$-0.01 e \text{ fm}$	} nuclei
Deuteron Liu et al., PRC 70 , 055501 (2004) NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	—	$0.0145 e \text{ fm}$	—	
^3He nucleus Bsaisou et al., JHEP 1503 (2015) 104 NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	$0.015 e \text{ fm}$	$0.0108 e \text{ fm}$	$0.026 e \text{ fm}$	
^6Li nucleus NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	—	$0.022 e \text{ fm}$	—	
^7Li nucleus NY et al., PRC 100 , 055501 (2019)	$-0.015 e \text{ fm}$	$0.016 e \text{ fm}$	$-0.026 e \text{ fm}$	
^9Be nucleus NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	$0.01 e \text{ fm}$	$0.014 e \text{ fm}$	$0.01 e \text{ fm}$	
^{11}B nucleus NY et al., PRC 100 , 055501 (2019)	$-0.01 e \text{ fm}$	$0.016 e \text{ fm}$	$-0.02 e \text{ fm}$	
^{13}C nucleus NY et al., PRC 95 , 065503 (2017)	$-0.003 e \text{ fm}$	$-0.0020 e \text{ fm}$	$-0.003 e \text{ fm}$	
^{129}Xe nucleus N. Yoshinaga et al., PRC 89 , 045501 (2014)	$7.0 \times 10^{-5} e \text{ fm}$	$7.4 \times 10^{-5} e \text{ fm}$	$3.7 \times 10^{-4} e \text{ fm}$	
Simple shell model O. P. Sushkov et al., Sov. JETP 60 , 873 (1984)	$0(0.01) e \text{ fm}$	$0.07 e \text{ fm}$	$0(0.01) e \text{ fm}$	


Results

EDM	isoscalar (a_0)	isovector (a_1)	isotensor (a_2)	
^{129}Xe atom K. Yanase et al., arXiv:2006.15142 [nucl-th] A. Sakurai et al., PRA 100 , 0320502 (2019)	$-1.2 \times 10^{-6} e \text{ fm}$	$-1.3 \times 10^{-6} e \text{ fm}$	$-2.6 \times 10^{-6} e \text{ fm}$	} atoms
^{199}Hg atom K. Yanase et al., arXiv:2006.15142 [nucl-th] B. K. Sahoo et al., PRL 120 , 203001 (2018)	$-1.4 \times 10^{-5} e \text{ fm}$	$-1.3 \times 10^{-5} e \text{ fm}$	$-2.6 \times 10^{-5} e \text{ fm}$	
^{225}Ra atom Dobaczewski et al., PRL 94 , 232502 (2005) Y. Singh et al., PRA 92 , 022502 (2015)	$0.00093 e \text{ fm}$	$-0.0037 e \text{ fm}$	$0.0025 e \text{ fm}$	
Neutron Crewther et al., PLB 88 , 123 (1979) Mereggetti et al., PLB 696 , 97 (2011)	$0.01 e \text{ fm}$	—	$-0.01 e \text{ fm}$	} nuclei
Deuteron Liu et al., PRC 70 , 055501 (2004) NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	—	$0.0145 e \text{ fm}$	—	
^3He nucleus Bsaisou et al., JHEP 1503 (2015) 104 NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	$0.015 e \text{ fm}$	$0.0108 e \text{ fm}$	$0.026 e \text{ fm}$	
^6Li nucleus NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	—	$0.022 e \text{ fm}$	—	
^7Li nucleus NY et al., PRC 100 , 055501 (2019)	$-0.015 e \text{ fm}$	$0.016 e \text{ fm}$	$-0.026 e \text{ fm}$	
^9Be nucleus NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	$0.01 e \text{ fm}$	$0.014 e \text{ fm}$	$0.01 e \text{ fm}$	
^{11}B nucleus NY et al., PRC 100 , 055501 (2019)	$-0.01 e \text{ fm}$	$0.016 e \text{ fm}$	$-0.02 e \text{ fm}$	
^{13}C nucleus NY et al., PRC 95 , 065503 (2017)	$-0.003 e \text{ fm}$	$-0.0020 e \text{ fm}$	$-0.003 e \text{ fm}$	
^{129}Xe nucleus N. Yoshinaga et al., PRC 89 , 045501 (2014)	$7.0 \times 10^{-5} e \text{ fm}$	$7.4 \times 10^{-5} e \text{ fm}$	$3.7 \times 10^{-4} e \text{ fm}$	
Simple shell model O. P. Sushkov et al., Sov. JETP 60 , 873 (1984)	$0(0.01) e \text{ fm}$	$0.07 e \text{ fm}$	$0(0.01) e \text{ fm}$	

SUSY CP problem

In naive supersymmetric models with all possible soft SUSY breaking, the fermion EDM is generated at the one-loop level

Neutron and atomic EDMs are very sensitive to SUSY CP phases




⇒ Very strong constraints on the CP phases of light sfermion ($\theta < 10^{-(2-3)}$ for $m_{\text{SUSY}} \sim \text{TeV}$)

This leads phenomenologists to think of a more “natural” scenario where CP phases of sfermions are irrelevant, such as **split-SUSY** (very heavy sfermions)

Arkani-Hamed et al., Nucl. Phys. B 709 (2005) 3

In such scenarios, the EDM appears at two-loop level



Barr-Zee type diagram

⇒ Two-loop level CP violation is smaller

⇒ No need to tune SUSY CP phases


Higgs doublet models

Standard model Higgs boson does not have CP phase, but its extension may have it.


Higgs boson has very small interaction with light fermions (Yukawa)

The leading contribution involves **heavy fermions**.

Two leading contributions :



Fermion EDM
(Barr-Zee type diagram)



CP-odd electron-nucleon force
(gluon inside nucleon)

Be careful !!

For top quark, Barr-Zee is dominant, but for bottom quark,
CP-odd e-N interaction and Barr-Zee interfere destructively
in paramagnetic atoms!


Leptoquark models

Leptoquarks : boson with lepton and baryon numbers.

Natural effective models which may be arise in **Grand unification**.
(note that not all are constrained by proton decay)

Recently attracting attention in the context of B meson decay.

Atomic EDM is very sensitive to leptoquark models
⇒ CP-odd electron-nucleon interaction!



⇒ Very strong constraints on
the CP phases of leptoquarks
($\theta < 10^{-3}$ for $m_{LQ} \sim \text{TeV}$)

Natural mechanisms to explain small CP phases are required.

Herczeg, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 116004 (2003),
Fuyuto et al., Phys. Lett. B **788** (2019) 52,
Yanase et al., Phys. Rev. D **99**, 075021 (2018).

EDM from CKM matrix

Leading CP violation from Jarlskog invariant

$$J = \text{Im}[V_{ts}^* V_{td} V_{us} V_{ud}^*] = -\text{Im}[V_{cs}^* V_{cd} V_{us} V_{ud}^*]$$
$$= (3.06 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-5} \text{ (PDG value)}$$


C. Jarlskog, PRL 55, 1039 (1985).

Short distance process:

EDM in the Standard model starts from

- * 3-loop diagram for quark $\sim 10^{-35} e \text{ cm}$
- * 4-loop diagram for electron $\sim 10^{-48} e \text{ cm}$

\Rightarrow Very small due to **GIM mechanism** with quark mass factors



A. Czarnecki et al., PRL 78, 4339 (1997)



M. Pospelov et al., PRD 89, 056006 (2014)

Long distance (hadron level) process:

Generated by two distinct hadron level $|\Delta S|=1$ interactions

- * Electron EDM $\sim 10^{-39} e \text{ cm}$
- * Neutron EDM $\sim 10^{-32} e \text{ cm}$
- * Deuteron EDM $\sim 10^{-31} e \text{ cm}$

\Rightarrow No strong GIM cancelation, much larger EDM



C.-Y. Seng, PRC 91, 025502 (2015)

NY and E. Hiyama, JHEP 1602 (2016) 067

Y. Yamaguchi and NY, to appear in PRL

EDM from CKM is well **below** the experimental sensitivity

What can we learn from EDM and model studies?

Essentially, nothing was discovered so far in LHC, so all models are even.

Nevertheless, EDM can constrain CP phases which cannot by LHC, so EDM experiments have a strong diplomatic power in suggesting the directions of future particle physics studies (e.g. split-SUSY).

Now, what the EDM is suggesting us? (include my personal thought)

- SUSY : split-SUSY was nice to avoid SUSY CP problem, but “natural” CP phases will be killed in future EDM experiments.
- Leptoquark : very unlikely to be at TeV within natural CP phases. GUT scale is the most natural, but other tricky mechanisms to only remove CP phases at TeV?
- Extending Higgs sector : the Higgs exists, but many aspects not elucidated, such as Yukawa, CKM mixing/CP angles, etc.
We also note that the CKM effect is also (indirectly) due to Higgs.
This means, even not finding other CP phases than the CKM one is meaningful for the study of the Higgs sector.

Probably, the precise study of Higgs sector is the most promising.



Summary

- Baryon number excess was created due to CP violation.
- EDM is a good probe of CP violation beyond standard model.
- A review of enhancement/suppression in EDM.
- Schiff's screening in atoms damps the leading hadronic CPV.
- Notable enhancement : relativistic electron in atoms/ molecules, octuple deformation of nuclei, and maybe scalar density.
- Review of some CPV models and EDM constraints.
- We have to note that experimentally measurable systems are not numerous : limited # of cases to be studied.

Future subjects:

- Hadronic CP violation to be quantified.
- We are waiting for new experiments!

- For details of nuclear EDM calculation, see
N. Yamanaka,
Review of the electric dipole moment of light nuclei,
International Journal of Modern Physics E 26, 1730002 (2017)
arXiv:1609.04759 [nucl-th].
- For values and error bars of hadron level CP violation, see
N. Yamanaka, B. K. Sahoo, N. Yoshinaga, T. Sato, K. Asahi and B. P. Das,
Probing exotic phenomena at the interface of nuclear and particle physics
with the electric dipole moments of diamagnetic atoms ,
European Physical Journal A 53, 54 (2017)
arXiv:1703.01570 [nucl-th].
- For details of particle physics level calculations, see
N. Yamanaka,
Analysis of the Electric Dipole Moment
in the R-parity Violating Supersymmetric Standard Model,
Springer, 2014.



EDM Physics is reviewed !!

End