First Results From The Fermilab Muon g-2 Experiment



Alex Keshavarzi Muon g-2 Theory Initiative Workshop 28th June 2021





The University of Manchester

Precision



The BNL E821 measurement had a 0.54 ppm (540 ppb) uncertainty



BNL-SM discrepancy: 2.4 ppm FNAL aim is 100 ppb stat. \oplus 100 ppb syst. Today's talk is on a dataset of similar size to BNL ~ 10 billion μ^+

Muons at Fermilab



~ 10,000 $\mu^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ (from 10¹² p) at 3.1 GeV every 10 ms

(g-2): $\frac{1}{3}$ of proton cycles, neutrino expts: $\frac{2}{3}$

Extra 900m of instrumented beamlines



Lower instantaneous rate but larger integrated rate than BNL



4 years to build (2 years magnet 'shimming' ...)







Run-1 data taking started Feb. 2018

First Muon g-2 Results







Magnetic field uniformity 3 times better than the goal (BNL)



Measurement principle

- Inject polarised muon beam into magnetic storage ring
- Measure difference between spin precession and cyclotron frequencies

$$g=2, \ \omega_a=0$$

• $g \neq 2, \, \omega_a \propto a_\mu$

$$\omega_a = \omega_s - \omega_c = a_\mu \frac{eB}{mc}$$



TI Workshop: 28/06/2021: 6

Measurement details



3ppb 0.0003ppb The experiment actually $a_{
u}$ measures two frequencies 22ppb What we measure **Corrections from** the beam dynamics systematic effects Unblinding conversion factor Measured g - 2 frequency $\frac{f_{\text{clock}} \,\omega_a^m \left(1 + C_e + C_p + C_{ml} + C_{pa}\right)}{f_{\text{calib}} \,\langle \omega_p(x, y, \phi) \times M(x, y, \phi) \rangle (1 + B_k + B_q)}$ NMR probe calibration factor Magnetic field weighted over **Corrections from** the muon distribution and the transient magnetic field azimuthally averaged

First Muon g-2 Results



Storing and detecting the beam...





Beam injection





- Monitor beam profile
 before entrance with
 scintillating X and Y fibres
- Get time profile of beam using scintillating pad
- ~125ns wide



Cancel B-field during
 injection using Inflector,
 so muons can get into the
 ring

'Kick' onto correct orbit





First Muon g-2 Results

Beam focusing





- Focus the muons vertically
- Aluminium electrodes cover ~43% of total circumference



First Muon g-2 Results

Calorimeters







24 Calorimeters

Arrays of 6 x 9 PbF₂ crystals $2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ cm}^2 \times 14 \text{ cm} (15X_0)$

Readout by SiPMs to 800 MHz WFDs

Tracking Detectors





Measuring ω_a







The number of high momentum positrons above a fixed energy threshold oscillates at precession frequency

Simply count the number above an energy threshold vs time





Precession in 1 hour of data





First Muon g-2 Results

Beam corrections

- Injected beam has a small vertical component
- Need to use electrostatic quadrupoles to focus the beam vertically

$$\vec{\omega}_a = \frac{e}{mc} \left[a_\mu \vec{B} - \left(a_\mu - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E} - a_\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1} \right) \left(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{B} \right) \vec{\beta} \right]$$

- This introduces 2 additional terms reducing the precession frequency
- We can minimise the first by choosing γ = 29.3 to give p_u = 3.1GeV
- For a 1.45T field, this sets the radius of the ring to 7.11m
- However we now have 2 corrections to make to a_{μ} because:

Not all muons are at the 'magic' momentum of 3.1GeV

Vertical momentum component aligned with B field

Both corrections depend on the quadrupole field strength, and are < 0.5ppm

 $C_p = \frac{\Delta \omega_a}{\omega_a}$

Pitchection

Beam Measurements





- Use the tracking detectors to measure the decay positrons to infer the decay position
- Muons oscillate radially and vertically at different frequencies, according to the quadrupole strength

Fitting for ω_a



• A fourier transform of the residuals to the fit shows contributions from the movements of the beam, pileup and muon losses



• To account for these effects additional terms are included in the final 24 parameter fit function



First Muon g-2 Results



Magnetic field measurement



First Muon g-2 Results

TI Workshop: 28/06/2021:

20

The g-2 storage ring magnet

- 7.112 m radius 'C'-shape magnet with vertically-aligned field B = 1.45 T
- Dipole field has ppm-level uniformity (14 ppm RMS across the full azimuth)
- Tiny (ppm) changes in magnet geometry, driven by temperature changes, cause the field to drift over time
- Measured using pulsed NMR a well-known technique that is routinely used in a wide range of applications to measure magnetic fields at the ppb level
- 378 'fixed' NMR probes, built for this experiment, around the ring measure the drift continuously, and provide feedback to the magnet power supply to keep the dipole (vertical) term constant
- Shimming devices minimise gradients (transverse and azimuthal field components).

Top-down view



YOKE

outer coil

outer coil

Magnet cross-section

iron foil

amination

surface

correction coil

top hat

fixed NMR probes

7112 mm

top hat

six-probe station

four-probe station

21

inner coil

wedge

edae

shim

pole piece

inner coil

region

Measuring the field: the NMR Trolley



 An in-vacuum trolley with 17 NMR probes drives around the ring every ~3 days, mapping out the field components



Spatial dependence of B

• Extract terms from a multipole (m) expansion of B in r and θ :



- Trolley: Fit the 2D contour plot to extract the multipole terms (m1, m2, m3, ...)
- Fixed probes: extract terms from geometric combination of probe frequencies
- Fixed probes can track m1, m2, m3, m4 only

First Muon g-2 Results

Dipole (m1)

Interpolating between trolley runs

- Need to know the field experienced by the muons, but the trolley cannot take data when the muons are present. One trolley run takes 3 hours, every ~3 days.
- Fixed probes take data continuously during muon fills. Use this data to interpolate between trolley runs.
- There are 72 fixed probe 'stations' around the ring, every ~5 degrees
- The fixed probe measurements are calibrated using the trolley measurements both times the trolley passes
- Calibration drifts over time, due to changes in higher-order terms that cannot be tracked by the fixed probes
- Leads to the tracking error uncertainty (22

 43 ppb in the run 1 datasets)



24





Absolute calibration

- Trolley and fixed NMR probes use petroleum jelly as the proton sample. Chosen for low volatility.
- Must calibrate with protons in a water sample (measurement standard) in order to measure a_µ
- A dedicated calibration probe with a cylindrical H₂0 sample is installed inside the vacuum chamber.
- In a dedicated calibration campaign, trolley and calibration probes switch places to repeatedly measure the same field in the same place
- Calibration probe is calibrated against a different probe with a spherical water sample.
- Both calibration probes were cross-checked with a spherical 3He sample (different systematics)

calibration probes at 10 ppb level

Agreement between all three

25





The muon-weighted field

- To obtain the field experience by the muons, the magnetic field distribution as a function of time must be weighted by:
 - The number of muons as a function of time, N(t)
 - The beam distribution as a function of time



The field is weighted by the 2D beam distribution. An average beam distribution for every 3 hours is used.

Measured field (every 1.7 s) is weighted by the number of detected e^+

Transient fields

- Largest uncertainties come from "fast transient" fields generated by the pulsed systems (kickers and quads)
- Muons experience a field change which the fixed probes do not see (due to shielding)
- Effects were measured separately during dedicated measurement campaigns.



- present from $30\mu s$ to $700\mu s$ after the kick (fit region)
- Kicker correction: -27 (37) ppb



27





Correcting Measured R





First Muon g-2 Results

Systematic Uncertainties



~ 80 effects considered significant in determining the systematic uncertainty. Dedicated runs taken for some of them e.g. at different beam momentum. Documented in 98 pages of PRDs.

Total systematic uncertainty 157 ppb. Those above 30 ppb are below

Source	Systematic Uncertainty (ppb)	Improvements undertaken
Calorimeter pileup	35	
Beam Mean Momentum & Spread	53	Increased kicker voltage: 130-161 kV
Drift of beam over measurement	75	Replaced damaged quadrupole resistors
Transient B-field (from kicker)	37	Improved magnetometer
Transient B-field (from quadrupoles)	92	More extensive measurements / damping
Total	140	

Other effects at 10-20 ppb also significantly improved by better temperature control in the experimental hall.

Clock Blinding



- The clock is hardware blinded to have a frequency of $(40 \pm \epsilon)$ MHz
- Only 2 people outside of the collaboration set and know the number
- Blinding offset was ± 25 ppm (approx ×10 BNL-SM difference)



• Additionally each analysis is blinded in software

Unblinded result





First Muon g-2 Results

TI Workshop: 28/06/2021:

32

Unblinded result





First Muon g-2 Results

TI Workshop: 28/06/2021:

33

Combination with BNL







The superconducting coil, pole pieces, yoke and inflector magnet remain from BNL experiment

The underlying experimental methodology is very similar to CERN-III and BNL

- New NMR systems for magnetic field measurement
- New higher resolution calorimeter & straw trackers
- New quadrupole and kicker system
- A laser calibration system for the calorimeters (plus tracker E/p)
- Zero pion contamination and less pileup
- Full GEANT simulation and additional beam transport simulations
- More independent analyses

What next to improve/cross-check this result...





Run-4 ends in two weeks.

A final Run-5 will give us a total dataset ~ x20 that of the the first publication

Current publication (Run-1) based on dataset ~ 1 BNL (~ 10B muon decays)

Now analysing Run-2/Run-3 : should reduce statistical uncertainty by 2 (~ 220 ppb) and expect to reach systematic uncertainty goal of 100 ppb : still statistics limited

With full dataset (upto Run-5) likely we become systematics limited

Conclusions

- The analysis of the Run-1 data produced a result with 460 ppb precision
- Strengthened evidence for deviation from SM in muon g-2 : 4.2σ tension with the theoretical prediction
- There is a lot more data to analyse - expect a factor 2 improvement for Run-2/3 analysis





Backups

First Muon g-2 Results

TI Workshop: 28/06/2021:

37

Muon g-2: History of Experiment vs Theory





First Muon g-2 Results

TI Workshop: 28/06/2021:

38





Muons at Fermilab

- Deliver two 4 ×10¹² 8 GeV proton batches to the Main Injector Recycler (graphic shows one)
- Batches are split into four bunches
- One bunch extracted every 10 msec to AP0 target hall
- 3.1 GeV pions are selected and focused by Li lens
- Transported through dense FODO lattice to Delivery Ring
- Several passes around Delivery Ring to remove protons by time-offlight.
- Muons are focused and injected into the Muon g-2 storage ring.
- Whole cycle repeats twice every 1.4s





Muon decay



Measuring ω_a

The number of high momentum positrons above a fixed energy threshold oscillates at precession frequency



1.8

1.6

1.4

1.2

 $\cos(\theta) = \frac{\varepsilon.p}{\omega}$

p

 $\theta = 0^{\circ}$

θ = 90°

θ = 180°

p(μ)

First Muon g-2 Results

Results of 5 parameter fit ...





Add additional 17 terms in fit to describe:

- Muons lost from storage ring not by decaying
- Pileup (concurrent, multiple e⁺ in same calorimeter crystal)
- Vertical and radial beam motion

And get $\chi^2/\mathrm{ndf} \sim 1.008$

Resulting 22 Parameter Fit





Statistical uncertainty from this fit : 434 ppb

Largest correction to data is : 489 ppb (total correction is 456 ppb)

Total systematic uncertainty is : 157 ppb (aim was 100 ppb)

Deviation from SM (with BNL) : 2400 ppb

Two Sets of corrections to get to (g-2)



1. Our NMR frequencies are multiplied by: $\frac{\mu_p}{\mu_e} \frac{m_\mu}{m_e} \frac{g_e}{2}$ to determine the B-field

The uncertainty on this correction is very small (24 ppb) from CODATA and external to experiment

- 2. Corrections because the beam and apparatus are not perfect
- beam has a few MeV momentum spread
- beam has a small vertical momentum component
- mean position (and rms) of beam drifts slowly over measurement period
- there are transient magnetic fields in addition to the 1.45 T storage ring field
- muon population is depleted other than by decay & is momentum dependent

The uncertainty in these corrections largely determines the systematic uncertainty

45

Run-2/3 Improvements





First Muon g-2 Results