New physics searches in top yukawa sector

Michihisa Takeuchi (Kavli IPMU)

2016. 3. 14 at Nagoya

Higgs combined results from LHC run 1

PhysRevLett.114.191803



1

Higgs coupling fit



ttH coupling and ggH coupling



production : ggF, VBF, VHdecay : $\gamma\gamma, ZZ, WW, bb, \tau\tau$ $\kappa_g, \kappa_\gamma, \kappa_Z, \kappa_W, \kappa_b, \kappa_\tau$ $\kappa_g = \kappa_t$ is often assumed ttH is indirectly measured by ggH coupling



ttH coupling and ggH coupling



However, κ_g can include new particle effects $\kappa_g = \kappa_t + \kappa_g^{NP}$

We want to measure κ_g and κ_t independently

ttH coupling direct measurement



ttH coupling directly starts constrained weakly non 0 at 1-2 sigma HL-LHC: $\sim 10\%$ for all couplings



We have measured $\kappa_g^{\text{eff}} = \kappa_t + \kappa_g^{NP}$ but want to measure κ_g^{NP} and κ_t separately

one option: ttH measurement

another option: Boosted Higgs shapes

Effective Lagrangian for higgs physics



Effective Lagrangian for higgs physics

 κ_t

600000000000000



top partner decoupled

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} - \kappa_t \frac{m_t}{v} \bar{t} th + \kappa_g^{NP} \frac{\alpha_s}{12} \frac{h}{v} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu a}$$



top decoupled (at m_H)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + (\kappa_t + \kappa_g^{NP}) \frac{\alpha_s}{12} \frac{h}{v} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu a}$$

what we measure in inclusive $H \to gg$ is $\kappa_g^{\text{eff}} = \kappa_t + \kappa_g^{NP}$

100000000000000

ক্তিত্ত্ত্ত্ত্ত্ত্ত্ত্ত্ত্ত্

T

Ex. Composite Higgs model, natural SUSY

Interestingly, $\kappa_t + \kappa_q = 1 - \mathcal{O}(\xi)$ in many CH models $(\xi = v^2/f^2)$ MCHM₅, $\xi = 0.1$, 110 GeV < m_h < 140 GeV SO(5)/SO(4) minimal composite Higgs model $\kappa_a^{\text{eff}} = \kappa_t + \kappa_q = 1 - \frac{3}{2}\xi$ 0.8independent of top partner mass m_T 0.6 $\kappa_t + \kappa_g = \frac{1-2\xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi}}$ $(\bar{t}_L \, \bar{T}_L) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y_t h}{\sqrt{2}} \, \Delta \\ 0 \, M \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} t_R \\ T_R \end{pmatrix}$ 0.4 0.2 diagonalize $\rightarrow h \overline{t}t : \frac{m_t}{m} \cos^2(\theta_R), \quad h \overline{T}T : \frac{M_T}{m} \sin^2(\theta_R)$ -0.2800 400 600 1000 1200 mlightest [GeV] $heta_R = rac{1}{2} \arcsin\left(rac{2m_t M_T \eta}{M_T^2 - m_t^2}
ight)$ $m_h^2 = m_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \frac{3y_t m_t^2}{4\pi^2} \left[\log \frac{m_S^2}{m_t^2} + X_t^2 \left(1 - \frac{X_t^2}{12} \right) \right] + \cdots \qquad X_t = \frac{A_t + \mu \cot \beta}{m_S}, m_S = \sqrt{m_{\tilde{t}_1} m_{\tilde{t}_2}}$ 2500 feaviest stop mass m; in GeV $m_S \sim 500 \text{ GeV}$ and $X_t \sim \sqrt{6}$ 2000 1500 $\Delta_t \sim \frac{m_t^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{m_{\tilde{t}_{-}}^2} + \frac{1}{m_{\tilde{t}_{-}}^2} - \frac{X_t^2}{m_{\varsigma}^2} \right)$ 1000 125 GeV $\frac{\Gamma(h \to gg)}{\Gamma(h \to gg)_{GM}} = (1 + \Delta_t)^2$ 500 With $X_t^2 \sim 6$, $m_{\tilde{t}_2} = 6m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ gives $\Delta_t \sim 0$ 7 Lightest stop mass m; in GeV

Antonio Delgado, Gian F. Giudice, Gino Isidori, Maurizio Pierini, Alessandro Strumia

Off-shell gluon breaks top loops

arXiv:1405.4295 M. Schlaffer, M. Spannowsky, MT, A. Weiler, C. Wymant



on-shell gluon amplitude has only scale m_H (only τ_X is sensitive to the mass but very weak)

gluon off-shellness can probe the mass scale in the loop. p_T/m_t

 $H + j: p_{T,H}$ distribution is the observable $\begin{array}{l} \kappa_g > 0 \text{ enhance in high } p_{T,H} \\ \kappa_g < 0 \text{ deficit in high } p_{T,H} \end{array}$

boost helps, M_col distribution

Collinear approx. $\mathbf{p}_T = \mathbf{p}_{T,\nu_1} + \mathbf{p}_{T,\nu_2}$ $\mathbf{p}_{\nu_1} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{p}_{\ell_1}, \ \mathbf{p}_{\nu_2} = \alpha_2 \mathbf{p}_{\ell_2} \qquad (\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0)$ do/dM_{col} [fb/5GeV $p_{T,H}^{rec}$ >200GeV Zj tt WWj h→ττ h→WW 10⁻¹ SR 10^{-2} 100 200 300 M_{col} [GeV]

$$\ell \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{H \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{\ell} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{\ell} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{\ell} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & \nu \\ & - \end{array}}_{L \to \tau_{\ell}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} & -$$



$$p_{\rm col} = p_{\nu_1} + p_{\nu_2} + p_{\ell_1} + p_{\ell_2}$$

 $M_{\rm col}^2 = p_{\rm col}^2$

thanks to $m_{\tau} \ll m_H$ We see also $m_Z \to \tau \tau$ peak

arXiv:1405.4295 M. Schlaffer, M. Spannowsky, MT, A. Weiler, C. Wymant



by comparing $p_{T,H}$ distribution, with 3000 fb⁻¹, $\kappa_g < -0.29$ and $\kappa_g > 0.24$ excluded with 10% sys. err., $\kappa_g < -0.4$ and $\kappa_g > 0.3$ excluded cf.) compared with $\Delta \kappa_t$ by $t\bar{t}H : 0.15(300 \text{fb}^{-1}), 0.12(3 \text{ab}^{-1})$ weaker but independent information





What about $i\bar{t}\gamma_5 th$?



What if ct = -1?



 $\sigma(ttH), \sigma(tH)?$

13





CPV ttH coupling [arXiv:1312.5736[hep-ph] J. Ellis, D. Hwang, K. Sakurai, MT]



15

ttH, tHj production rate [arXiv:1312.5736[hep-ph] J. Ellis, D. Hwang, K. Sakurai, MT]





17

HH invariant masses

arXiv:1309.6907 [Kenji Nishiwaki, Saurabh Niyogi, Ambresh Shivaji]



other processes, other observables



Figure 3. Some of the contributing Feynman diagrams for $gb \rightarrow thW^-$.



Figure 4. Contributing Feynman diagrams for $q\bar{q}' \rightarrow th\bar{b}$.

[Fawzi Boudjema, Rohini M. Godbole, Diego Guadagnoli, Kirtimaan A. Mohan]

$$\alpha \equiv \operatorname{sgn}\left(\vec{p_t}^{t\bar{t}} \cdot (\vec{p_{\ell^-}} \times \vec{p_{\ell^+}})\right).$$

defined with lab frame observables

$$eta \equiv \mathrm{sgn} \left((ec{p_b} - ec{p_{ar{b}}}) \cdot (ec{p_{\ell^-}} imes ec{p_{\ell^+}})
ight).$$



NLO prediction

[Federico Demartin, Fabio Maltoni, Kentarou Mawatari, Marco Zaro]

arxiv:1504.00611



NLO in QCD is available, more reliable prediction possible.

Higgs to 4lepton

arxiv:1505.01168



FCNC in top-sector

LHC: top factory 8TeV: 250 pb \rightarrow 5,000,000 top pairs for 20fb⁻¹

14 TeV: 920 pb $\rightarrow 3 \times 10^9$ top pairs for 3000 fb^{-1}

	-
SM predicts ext	tremely small $\frac{1}{t}$
L	

Immediate NP signature

Process	\mathbf{SM}	2 HDM(FV)	2HDM(FC)	MSSM	RPV	\mathbf{RS}
$t \to Z u$	7×10^{-17}	-	-	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	-
$t \to Zc$	1×10^{-14}	$\leq 10^{-6}$	$\leq 10^{-10}$	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	$\leq 10^{-5}$
$t \to g u$	4×10^{-14}	_	_	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	-
$t \to gc$	$5 imes 10^{-12}$	$\leq 10^{-4}$	$\leq 10^{-8}$	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	$\leq 10^{-10}$
$t\to \gamma u$	4×10^{-16}	_	_	$\leq 10^{-8}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	_
$t\to \gamma c$	$5 imes 10^{-14}$	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	$\leq 10^{-8}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$
t ightarrow hu	2×10^{-17}	$6 imes 10^{-6}$	_	$\leq 10^{-5}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	-
$t \to hc$	$3 imes 10^{-15}$	$2 imes 10^{-3}$	$\leq 10^{-5}$	$\leq 10^{-5}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	$\leq 10^{-4}$

current bounds (arXiv:1311.2028)

			•		
	Process	Br Limit	Search	Dataset	Reference
	$t \to Zq$	$7 imes 10^{-4}$	CMS $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + Zq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \ell\ell q$	$19.5 { m ~fb^{-1}}, 8 { m ~TeV}$	130
	$t \to Zq$	$7.3 imes 10^{-3}$	ATLAS $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + Zq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \ell\ell q$	$2.1 { m ~fb^{-1}}, 7 { m ~TeV}$	[137]
	$t \to g u$	$3.1 imes 10^{-5}$	ATLAS $qg \rightarrow t \rightarrow Wb$	$14.2 { m ~fb^{-1}}, 8 { m ~TeV}$	131
	$t \to gc$	1.6×10^{-4}	ATLAS $qg \rightarrow t \rightarrow Wb$	$14.2 { m ~fb^{-1}}, 8 { m ~TeV}$	[131]
	$t ightarrow \gamma u$	$6.4 imes10^{-3}$	ZEUS $e^{\pm}p \rightarrow (t \text{ or } \bar{t}) + X$	$474~{\rm pb^{-1}},300~{\rm GeV}$	[134]
	$t\to \gamma q$	$3.2 imes 10^{-2}$	CDF $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + \gamma q$	$110 { m ~pb^{-1}}, 1.8 { m ~TeV}$	132
	$t \to hq$	$8.3 imes10^{-3}$	ATLAS $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \gamma\gamma q$	$20 { m ~fb^{-1}}, 8 { m ~TeV}$	[135]
$BR(t \rightarrow ch) < 0.56\%$ at 8 TeV	t ightarrow hq	$2.7 imes 10^{-2}$	CMS* $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \ell\ell qX$	5 fb^{-1} , 7 TeV	[136]
·	$t \rightarrow$ invis.	$9 imes 10^{-2}$	CDF $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb$	$1.9~{\rm fb^{-1}, 1.96~TeV}$	$[133]_{22}$

LHC: top factory 8TeV: 250 pb \rightarrow 5,000,000 top pairs for 20fb⁻¹ 14TeV: 920 pb \rightarrow 3 × 10⁹ top pairs for 3000fb⁻¹

	Process	\mathbf{SM}	2 HDM(FV)	2HDM(FC)	MSSM	RPV	\mathbf{RS}
SM predicts extremely small	$t \rightarrow Zu$	$7 imes 10^{-17}$	-	_	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	_
	$t \to Zc$	1×10^{-14}	$\leq 10^{-6}$	$\leq 10^{-10}$	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	$\leq 10^{-5}$
Immediate NP signature	$t \to g u$	4×10^{-14}	_	_	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	-
	$t \to gc$	$5 imes 10^{-12}$	$\leq 10^{-4}$	$\leq 10^{-8}$	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	$\leq 10^{-10}$
	$t ightarrow \gamma u$	4×10^{-16}	-	_	$\leq 10^{-8}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	-
	$t \to \gamma c$	$5 imes 10^{-14}$	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	$\leq 10^{-8}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$
	t ightarrow hu	2×10^{-17}	$6 imes 10^{-6}$	-	$\leq 10^{-5}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	-
	$t \to hc$	$3 imes 10^{-15}$	$2 imes 10^{-3}$	$\leq 10^{-5}$	$\leq 10^{-5}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	$\leq 10^{-4}$

current bounds (arXiv:1311.2028)

	Process	Br Limit	Search	Dataset	Reference
future bounds	(cons	servati	ive)		
t ightarrow hq	2×1	10^{-3}	LHC $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \ell\ell qX$	$300 \text{ fb}^{-1}, 14 \text{ TeV}$	Extrap.
t ightarrow hq	5×1	10^{-4}	LHC $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \ell\ell qX$	$3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}, 14 \text{ TeV}$	Extrap.
t ightarrow hq	5×1	10^{-4}	LHC $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \gamma\gamma q$	$300 {\rm ~fb^{-1}}, 14 {\rm ~TeV}$	Extrap.
t ightarrow hq	2×1	10^{-4}	LHC $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \gamma\gamma q$	$3000 {\rm ~fb^{-1}}, 14 {\rm ~TeV}$	Extrap.
	t ightarrow hq	$8.3 imes10^{-3}$	ATLAS $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \gamma\gamma q$	$20 { m ~fb^{-1}}, 8 { m ~TeV}$	135
$BR(t \to ch) < 0.56\%$ at 8 TeV	t ightarrow hq	$2.7 imes 10^{-2}$	CMS* $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \ell\ell qX$	5 fb^{-1} , 7 TeV	[136]
	$t \rightarrow$ invis.	$9 imes 10^{-2}$	CDF $t\bar{t} \to Wb$	$1.9~{\rm fb^{-1}, 1.96~TeV}$	[133] 22

22



	-				/	
	-	Process	Br Limit	Search	Dataset	Reference
	future bounds	s (cons	servati	ive)		
	t ightarrow hq	2×1	10^{-3}	LHC $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \ell\ell qX$	$300 {\rm ~fb^{-1}}, 14 {\rm ~TeV}$	Extrap.
	t ightarrow hq	5×1	10^{-4}	LHC $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \ell\ell qX$	$3000 {\rm ~fb^{-1}}, 14 {\rm ~TeV}$	Extrap.
	t ightarrow hq	5×1	10^{-4}	LHC $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \gamma\gamma q$	$300 {\rm ~fb^{-1}}, 14 {\rm ~TeV}$	Extrap.
	t ightarrow hq	2×1	10^{-4}	LHC $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \gamma\gamma q$	$3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}, 14 \text{ TeV}$	Extrap.
		$t \rightarrow hq$	$8.3 imes10^{-3}$	ATLAS $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \gamma\gamma q$	$20 \text{ fb}^{-1}, 8 \text{ TeV}$	[135]
1	$BR(t \rightarrow ch) < 0.56\%$ at 8 TeV	$t \to hq$	$2.7 imes 10^{-2}$	CMS* $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb + hq \rightarrow \ell\nu b + \ell\ell qX$	$5 \text{ fb}^{-1}, 7 \text{ TeV}$	[136]
		$t \rightarrow$ invis.	$9 imes 10^{-2}$	$\text{CDF } t\bar{t} \to Wb$	$1.9 { m ~fb^{-1}}, 1.96 { m ~TeV}$	133 00

Two Higgs doublet models

No tree level FCNC in the SM. Large FCNC is NP signature.

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} H_1^+ \\ \frac{v_1 + h_1 + iA_1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ \frac{v_2 + h_2 + iA_2}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \tan \beta = v_2/v_1$$

• •

Usually considering Z_2 sym. to suppress FCNC,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\Phi_1 \overline{u}_R[Y_{u1}]Q - \Phi_2 \overline{u}_R[Y_{u2}]Q + \text{h.c.} + .$$

	Φ_1	Φ_2	u_R	d_R	ℓ_R	Q_L, L_L
Type-I	+	_	_	_	_	+
Type-II	+	_	_	+	+	+
Type-X	+	_	_	_	+	+
Type-Y	+	-	-	+	-	+

Type III to have FCNC, top FCNC is rather less constrained

Two Higgs doublet models

No tree level FCNC in the SM. Large FCNC is NP signature.

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} H_1^+ \\ \frac{v_1 + h_1 + iA_1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ \frac{v_2 + h_2 + iA_2}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \qquad tan \beta = v_2/v_1$$

Usually considering Z_2 sym. to suppress FCNC,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\Phi_1 \overline{u}_R [Y_{u1}]Q - \Phi_2 \overline{u}_R [Y_{u2}]Q + \text{h.c.} + \dots$$

	Φ_1	Φ_2	<i>u</i> _R	d_R	ℓ_R	Q_L, L_L
Type-I	+	_	_	_	_	+
Type-II	+	_	_	+	+	+
Type-X	+	_	_	_	+	+
Type-Y	+	-	-	+	-	+

Type III to have FCNC, top FCNC is rather less constrained

 $\Phi_1 \quad \Phi_2 \quad t_R \quad c_R \quad u_R \quad d_R \quad \ell_R \quad Q_L \quad L_L$ - - + + + + +++ $(\tau_R -)$

There are such well motivated models!

FCNC decay in top-specific Variant Axion Model

Michihisa Takeuchi (Kavli IPMU) in collaboration with Cheng-Wei Chiang, Hajime Fukuda, Tsutomu Yanagida JHEP11(2015)057 [arXiv:1507.04354]

Strong CP problem, Domain wall problem

QCD Lagrangian contains the total derivative term: θ -term

 $\mathcal{L}_{\theta} = \frac{\theta}{32\pi^2} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$

chiral tr. $q \to e^{i\alpha\gamma_5}q$ induces $\theta \to \theta - 2\alpha$ massive fermion mass term is also changed.

 $\theta_{\text{eff}} = \theta + \arg \det[M^u M^d]$ is invariant under the chiral tr. Why $\theta_{\text{eff}} < 10^{-9}$?

PQ mechanism [R. D. Peccei, H. R. Quinn, PhysRevLett.38.1440]

If the theory has $U(1)_{PQ}$, which spontaneously breakdowns to provide axion, and at least one fermion mass from yukawa coupling,

QCD instanton effects give an axion a potential of the form $1 - \cos(aN/f_a)$ and minimizing it gives $\langle a \rangle = \theta_{\text{eff}} = 0$.

Domain wall problem for invisible axion model (ZDFS model) $U(1)_{PQ} \to Z_N, \quad N = |\sum_{i}^{N_g} (2q_i + u_i + d_i)|$ $N_{DW} = \left|\frac{N}{h_1 + h_2}\right| = N_g \quad \text{[C.Q. Geng, J. N. Ng, PhysRevD.41.3848]}$ $V(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \sigma) = \lambda_1 \left(|\Phi_1|^2 - \frac{v_1^2}{2}\right)^2 + \lambda_2 \left(|\Phi_2|^2 - \frac{v_2^2}{2}\right)^2 + \lambda \left(|\sigma|^2 - \frac{v^2}{2}\right)^2$ $+ a |\Phi_1|^2 |\sigma|^2 + b |\Phi_2|^2 |\sigma|^2 + \left(m \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \sigma + \text{h.c.}\right)$ $+ d |\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2|^2 + e |\Phi_1|^2 |\Phi_2|^2.$

 $N_g = 1$ is free from domain wall problem.

Variant Axion model PQ charges: $u_3 = -1, h_2 = -1, \sigma = 1$

[C-R Chen, P. Frampton, F. Takahashi, T. T. Yanagida JHEP1006(2010)059]

After integrating out the σ field, the effective theory is just a 2HDM. with Φ_2 only couple with u_{R3}

$$V(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - (m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.}) + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1\right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2\right)^2 + \lambda_3 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1\right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2\right) + \lambda_4 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2\right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1\right)$$

 $L^u = -\Phi_1 \overline{u}_{Ra} [Y_{u1}]_{ai} Q_i - \Phi_2 \overline{u}_{R3} [Y_{u2}]_i Q_i + \text{h.c.}$

$$Y_{u1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad Y_{u2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

As usual, going to Higgs basis,
$$\begin{pmatrix} H \\ h \end{pmatrix} = R_{\beta-\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} h^{\text{SM}} \\ h' \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = R_{\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi^{\text{SM}} \\ \Phi' \end{pmatrix}$, with $R_{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$, (1)
with $\Phi^{\text{SM}} = \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ (v_{\text{SM}} + h^{\text{SM}} + iG^0)/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$, $\Phi' = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ (h' + iA^0)/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$, (2)
 $Y_u^{\text{SM}} = \cos \beta Y_{u1} + \sin \beta Y_{u2}$, $Y'_u = -\sin \beta Y_{u1} + \cos \beta Y_{u2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\tan \beta \\ & -\tan \beta \\ & & \cot \beta \end{pmatrix} Y_u^{SM}$

$$L^{u} = -\Phi_{1}\overline{u}_{Ra}[Y_{u1}]_{ai}Q_{i} - \Phi_{2}\overline{u}_{R3}[Y_{u2}]_{i}Q_{i} + \text{h.c.}$$
$$L^{u} = -\Phi^{\text{SM}}\overline{u}_{R}[Y_{u}^{\text{SM}}]Q - \Phi'\overline{u}_{R}[Y_{u}']Q + \text{h.c.}$$

$$Y_{u1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad Y_{u2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

 $Y_{u}^{\prime,\text{diag}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\tan\beta \\ -\tan\beta \\ \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} Y_{u}^{\text{diag}} + (\tan\beta + \cot\beta)H_{u}Y_{u}^{\text{diag}},$ $H_{u} \equiv V \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} V^{\dagger} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} V^{\dagger} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \cos\rho & \sin\rho \\ 0 & \sin\rho & \cos\rho - 1 \end{pmatrix}$

we restrict c - t Flavor violation

$$\begin{split} L^{u} &= -\Phi_{1}\overline{u}_{Ra}[Y_{u1}]_{ai}Q_{i} - \Phi_{2}\overline{u}_{R3}[Y_{u2}]_{i}Q_{i} + \text{h.c.} \qquad Y_{u1} = \begin{pmatrix} \ast & \ast & \ast \\ \ast & \ast & \ast \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_{u2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \ast & \ast & \ast \end{pmatrix} \\ L^{u} &= -\Phi^{SM}\overline{u}_{R}[Y_{u}^{SM}]Q - \Phi'\overline{u}_{R}[Y_{u}']Q + \text{h.c.} \qquad Y^{diag} = VYU^{\dagger}, u_{R,\text{mass}} = Vu_{R}, Q_{L,\text{mass}} = UQ_{L} \\ Y_{u}^{\prime,\text{diag}} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\tan\beta \\ -\tan\beta \\ \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} Y_{u}^{diag} + (\tan\beta + \cot\beta)H_{u}Y_{u}^{diag}, \qquad H_{u} = V\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} V^{\dagger} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \cos\rho & \sin\rho \\ 0 & \sin\rho & \cos\rho - 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{we restrict } c - t \text{ Flavor violation} \\ \xi_{I} &= \begin{cases} \sin(\beta - \alpha) + \cot\beta\cos(\beta - \alpha) & (\text{for } f = t) \\ \sin(\beta - \alpha) - \tan\beta\cos(\beta - \alpha) & (\text{for } f = t) \\ \sin(\beta - \alpha) - \tan\beta\cos(\beta - \alpha) & (\text{for } f \neq t) \end{cases} \text{ similar expressions in 2HDM} \\ \mathcal{L}_{Y} &= -\sum_{f,f'=u,c,t} \xi_{f} \frac{m_{I}}{v_{SM}}h\overline{f}f + \mathcal{L}_{TCNC} \\ \text{with } \mathcal{L}_{PCNC} &= -a\sum_{f,f'=u,c,t} (H_{u})ff' \frac{m_{f'}}{v_{SM}}h\overline{f}g_{f'}h + \text{h.c.} \\ a &\equiv (\tan\beta + \cot\beta)\cos(\beta - \alpha) \\ a \sim \tan\beta\cos(\beta - \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

FC effect proportional to a and m_{f_L}

$$L^{u} = -\Phi_{1}\overline{u}_{Ra}[Y_{u1}]_{ai}Q_{i} - \Phi_{2}\overline{u}_{R3}[Y_{u2}]_{i}Q_{i} + h.c.$$

$$Y_{u1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_{u2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L^{u} = -\Phi^{SM}\overline{u}_{R}[Y_{u}^{SM}]Q - \Phi'\overline{u}_{R}[Y_{u}']Q + h.c.$$

$$Y_{u}^{diag} = VU^{\dagger}, u_{t,mass} = Vu_{t}, Q_{t,mass} = UQ_{t}$$

$$Y_{u}^{diag} = \begin{pmatrix} -\tan\beta \\ -\tan\beta \\ \cot\beta \end{pmatrix} Y_{u}^{diag} + (\tan\beta + \cot\beta)H_{u}Y_{u}^{diag},$$

$$H_{u} \equiv V \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} V^{\dagger} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \cos\rho & \sin\rho \\ 0 & \sin\rho & \cos\rho - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
in mass eigen basis $\begin{pmatrix} H \\ h \end{pmatrix} = R_{\beta-\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} h^{SM} \\ h' \end{pmatrix}$
we restrict $c - t$ Flavor violation
$$\xi_{t} \equiv \begin{cases} \sin(\beta - \alpha) + \cot\beta\cos(\beta - \alpha) & (for f = t) \\ \sin(\beta - \alpha) - \tan\beta\cos(\beta - \alpha) & (for f = t) \\ \sin(\beta - \alpha) - \tan\beta\cos(\beta - \alpha) & (for f \neq t) \end{cases}$$
similar expressions in 2HDM
$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = -\sum_{\substack{f, f'=u, e, t \\ 0 & f' & \frac{v_{SM}}{v_{SM}} h\bar{f}f + \mathcal{L}_{FCNC}$$
with $\mathcal{L}_{FCNC} = -a \sum_{\substack{f, f'=u, e, t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ f' & \frac{v_{SM}}{v_{SM}} h\bar{f}g_{t}f' + h.c. \end{cases}$
model parameter: $a, \rho, \tan\beta$

$$\mathcal{L}_{tc} = -\frac{a}{2v_{SM}} h (c_{k} - t_{k}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{c}(1 - \cos\rho) & m_{b} \sin\rho \\ m_{c}(\cos\rho - 1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{L} \\ c_{L} \end{pmatrix} + h.c.$$
Small
$$26$$

top FC decay $t \rightarrow ch$

$$\mathcal{L}_{tc} = -\frac{a}{2v_{\rm SM}}h\left(\bar{c}_R \quad \bar{t}_R\right)\begin{pmatrix}m_c(1-\cos\rho) & m_t\sin\rho\\ m_c\sin\rho & m_t(\cos\rho-1)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}c_L\\t_L\end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\rho = 0 \rightarrow \text{ no FCNC}$$
Small

$$BR(t \to ch) = \frac{(1 - r_h^2)^2}{8(1 - r_W^2)^2(1 + 2r_W^2)|V_{tb}|^2} a^2 \sin^2 \rho \simeq (3.24 \times 10^{-2})a^2 \sin^2 \rho \; .$$

current bound: $BR(t \rightarrow ch) < 0.79 \text{ (ATLAS)}, 1.3(\text{CMS})\% \text{ arXiv:1403.6293} \text{ h} \rightarrow \ell s$ arXiv:1404.5801 $BR(t \rightarrow ch) < 0.56\% \text{ at 8 TeV}$ (CMS limit from leptons + di photons) arXiv:1410.2751 $a^2 \sin^2 \rho < 0.17$

future exp.

$$2\times 10^{-4}~(3000~{\rm fb^{-1}}$$
 at 14 TeV) with $h\to\gamma\gamma$

 $a^2 \sin^2 \rho < 6.2 \times 10^{-3}$



ATLAS and CMS h $\rightarrow \tau \mu$ at 8 TeV



arXiv:1502.07400

 $BR(h \to \tau \mu) = 0.77 \pm 0.62\%$ arXiv:1508.03372

LFV higgs decay
$$h \to \tau \mu$$

$$Large$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tau\mu} = -\frac{a}{2v_{\rm SM}} h \left(\bar{\mu}_R \quad \bar{\tau}_R \right) \begin{pmatrix} m_{\mu}(1 - \cos \rho_{\tau}) & m_{\tau} \sin \rho_{\tau} \\ m_{\mu} \sin \rho_{\tau} & m_{\tau} (\cos \rho_{\tau} - 1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_L \\ \tau_L \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}$$
Small

PQ charge of $\tau = +1$

$$BR_{obs}(h \to \mu \tau) = \frac{N_{obs}}{\mathcal{L} \ \mathcal{A} \ \sigma_{SM}} = (0.84^{+0.39}_{-0.37}) \%$$

$$BR_{obs}(h \to \mu\tau) = BR_{VA}(h \to \mu\tau) \frac{\sigma_{VA}}{\sigma_{SM}} \simeq \xi_g^{\ 2} BR_{VA}(h \to \mu\tau)$$
$$BR_{VA}(h \to \mu\tau) \simeq \frac{a^2 \sin^2 \rho_{\tau}}{36.52\xi_b^2 + 14.64 \sin^2(\beta - \alpha) + 5.44\xi_g^2 + 4\xi_{\tau}^2}$$

$$a^2 \sin^2 \rho_{\tau} \sim 0.35$$

another prediction $h\bar{\mu}_R \tau_L$ always τ_L^- observed $(m_\mu \ll m_\tau)$ τ_L^- visible energy fraction softer. worth checking the LHC data

<u>3(</u>



remark: $\rho = \rho_{\tau}$ not necessary

but interesting there are the overlapping region

31

$$\xi_f = \begin{cases} \sin(\beta - \alpha) + \left(\cot\beta - \frac{1 - \cos\rho}{2}(\tan\beta + \cot\beta)\right)\cos(\beta - \alpha) & (\text{for } f = t), (\text{for } f = \tau) \\ \sin(\beta - \alpha) - \left(\tan\beta - \frac{1 - \cos\rho}{2}(\tan\beta + \cot\beta)\right)\cos(\beta - \alpha) & (\text{for } f = c), (\text{for } f = \mu) \\ \sin(\beta - \alpha) - \tan\beta\cos(\beta - \alpha) & (\text{for the others}). \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{tc} = -\frac{a}{2v_{\rm SM}} h \begin{pmatrix} \bar{c}_R & \bar{t}_R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_c(1 - \cos\rho) & m_t \sin\rho \\ m_c \sin\rho & m_t(\cos\rho - 1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_L \\ t_L \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}$$

measuring helicity structure in top FC decay



top from $t\bar{t}$ is unpolarized but Using spin correlation, we can check it. at LHC, helicity basis is known to be a reasonably good spin axis

$$A_{\rm hel} = \frac{N(t_{\uparrow}\bar{t}_{\uparrow}) + N(t_{\downarrow}\bar{t}_{\downarrow}) - N(t_{\uparrow}\bar{t}_{\downarrow}) - N(t_{\downarrow}\bar{t}_{\uparrow})}{N(t_{\uparrow}\bar{t}_{\uparrow}) + N(t_{\downarrow}\bar{t}_{\downarrow}) + N(t_{\uparrow}\bar{t}_{\downarrow}) + N(t_{\downarrow}\bar{t}_{\uparrow})} \sim 0.35 \quad (14\text{TeV})$$

measuring helicity structure in top FC decay $t \rightarrow ch$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_i} \frac{d\Gamma_i}{d\cos\theta_i} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \kappa_i P \cos\theta_i)$$

Already measured by ATLAS, CMS

arXiv:1412.4742 CMS-PAS-TOP-13-015

 $A_{\rm hel}^{{\rm SM},8TeV} = 0.318 \pm 0.005$

 $A_{\rm hel}^{\rm ATLAS, 8TeV} = 0.38 \pm 0.04$

$$A_{\rm hel} = \frac{N(t_{\uparrow}\bar{t}_{\uparrow}) + N(t_{\downarrow}\bar{t}_{\downarrow}) - N(t_{\uparrow}\bar{t}_{\downarrow}) - N(t_{\downarrow}\bar{t}_{\uparrow})}{N(t_{\uparrow}\bar{t}_{\uparrow}) + N(t_{\downarrow}\bar{t}_{\downarrow}) + N(t_{\uparrow}\bar{t}_{\downarrow}) + N(t_{\downarrow}\bar{t}_{\downarrow})} \sim 0.35 \quad (14\text{TeV})$$





measuring helicity structure in top FC decay $t \rightarrow ch$ always c_R observed $(m_c \ll m_t)$

$$\begin{split} A_{\rm hel} &= \frac{N(t_{\rm f}\bar{t}_{\rm f}) + N(t_{\rm f}\bar{t}_{\rm f}) - N(t_{\rm f}\bar{t}_{\rm f}) - N(t_{\rm f}\bar{t}_{\rm f})}{N(t_{\rm f}t_{\rm f}) + N(t_{\rm f}t_{\rm f}$$

Summary

We consider modified top yukawa couplings and rare top decay. $\kappa_t, \tilde{\kappa}_t, \kappa_g$

We consider top specific 2HDM, which predicts FCNC $t \rightarrow ch$

The variant axion model is well motivated to solve strong CP and domain wall problems.

interesting overlapping of the parameter space to explain $h \to \tau \mu$

We predict in general distinct helicity structure in FC higgs couplings.

As top pairs are produced copiously at LHC, we should be able to test it using the spin correlation for a reasonable $BR(t \rightarrow ch)$.